VOTE "NO" TO PETITION #6 AND AMENDMENT #1 OF ARTICLE 3

LOOK FOR "LIMITED INDUSTRIAL ZONING" AND VOTE "NO". WINDHAM DESERVES BETTER.

THIS LOT IS
ACTUALLY ZONED
"RURAL"

THIS PETITION AS SIGNED,
AND ON FILE WITH THE
TOWN CLERK, READ
"RECOMMENDED BY THE
WINDHAM PLANNING
BOARD". IT WAS
SUBMITTED TWO DAYS
BEFORE THE (SPLIT) VOTE
TO RECOMMEND THE
PLANNING BOARD'S SIMILAR
AMENDMENT, AND ONE
MONTH BEFORE THIS
PETITION GOT A (SPLIT)
VOTE TO RECOMMEND.

WHICH "PORTIONS" ARE INTENDED? THE WORDING LEAVES LOOPHOLES FOR LAND ACROSS THE ROAD IN FRONT OF SEARLES CHAPEL, AND AT ALL FOUR CORNERS OF THE 111/RANGE ROAD LIGHT, TO BE USED FOR A GAS STATION OR OTHER LTD INDUSTRIAL USE.

??EFFECTIVE USE? PER THE MASTER PLAN, THE VALUE PER SQUARE FOOT FOR OFFICE & RETAIL IS TWICE THAT OF MANUFACTURING & WAREHOUSE. THIS WOULD SEEM TO <u>BEST</u> INCREASE OUR NON-RESIDENTIAL TAX BASE, ONE OF THE MAIN GOALS OF THE MASTER PLAN.

THESE TWO PROPOSALS ARE FUNCTIONALLY IDENTICAL - THEY SPECIFY THE SAME LOTS AND "PORTIONS" OF LOTS.

**Petition #6** by Richard P. McCoy and others to request that the zoning map be amended by rezoning lots off Industrial Drive and Lampson Road (lots 13-A-120, 13-A-150, 13-A-155, 13-A-160, 13-A-161 and portions of lots 13-A-191, 13-A-196, 13-A-197, 13-A-198, and 13-A-290) from Residential A to Limited Industrial

Recommended by the Planning Board (5-2)

THERE ARE
NO OFFICIAL
MAPS OF
THE LOTS IN
RELATION TO
NEW ROADS

"LAMSON" NOT "LAMPSON"

southerly portion of Route 28.

<del>LIMITED</del> "INDUSTRIAL ROAD"

Amendment #1: Amend Zoning District Map: by rezoning portions of all lots not zoned Industrial on the former Lamson Road and former Limited Industrial Road from Residential A District to Limited Industrial District, including Lots 13-A-120, 150, 155, 160, 161, and portions of 191, 196, 197, 198 and 290. The rezoning supports the Windham Master Plan 2005 by promoting office, industrial and retail development south of Flat Rock Brook and the

Recommended by the Planning Board (4-3)

THESE
VOTES ARE
SPLIT, NOT
UNANIMOUS;
PERHAPS
SOME OF
THESE
CONCERNS
ARE WHY!

"LIMITED INDUSTRIAL" <u>ALLOWS</u> OFFICE SPACE & HAS A VERY NARROW DESCRIPTION OF PERMITTED USES FOR RETAIL. OF COURSE INDUSTRIAL USES ARE PERMITTED, BUT WHO WANTS TO DEVELOP CLASS "A" OFFICE SPACE NEXT TO IT? OTHER ZONING TYPES WOULD MORE EFFECTIVELY "PROMOTE" OFFICE & RETAIL USE.

THE LOTS IN QUESTION ARE

NOT IN THE PBT DISTRICT OR ON

RT. 28, SO THE AMENDMENT DOES

NOT SUPPORT THE MASTER PLAN!

## LEARN MORE, SEE MAPS AND DESCRIPTIONS:

http://mysite.verizon.net/vzewa49k/industrialzoningchangeproposals/

## FROM THE MASTER PLAN:

**Goal ED-4** Promote office, industrial and retail development on Route 28 south of Flat Rock Brook. *Objectives* 

- Promote office and industrial development in the PBT districts off Roulston Rd and Gov Dinsmore Rd.
- Promote redevelopment and infill along the Route 28 frontage to generate a mix of office and retail uses.

THIS CLEARLY STATES "ON ROUTE 28". THE PROFESSIONAL/BUSINESS/TECHNOLOGY DIST. IS NORTH OF ROULSTON RD. NEAR THE PAINTBALL PLACE. IT RUNS NORTHWARD, BETWEEN THE COMMERCIAL A ZONING ALONG RT. 28 AND THE RAIL TRAIL. (SEE MAP PG. 22 - MASTER PLAN-EXISTING CONDITIONS) THE SECOND BULLET REFERS TO COMMERCIAL A ZONING ALONG RT. 28.